

1 Amy M. Samberg (#013874)  
2 Amy L. Stein (#026113)  
FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH PONZI & RUDLOFF PC  
3 1 East Washington Street, Suite 500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
4 Telephone: (602) 777-6230  
Facsimile: (312) 863-5099  
5 E-Mail: [asamberg@fgppr.com](mailto:asamberg@fgppr.com)  
[astein@fgppr.com](mailto:astein@fgppr.com)  
6 Attorneys for Defendant The Travelers Home and Marine  
Insurance Company

7

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT ARIZONA  
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

10 Diana Okabayashi, an Arizona Resident,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 The Travelers Home and Marine Insurance  
14 Company, a Foreign Insurer,

15 Defendant.

No:

Maricopa County Superior Court  
No. CV2017-010871

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF  
ACTION; VERIFICATION OF AMY  
STEIN**

16

17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446, Defendant THE  
18 TRAVELERS HOME AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY (“Travelers”) hereby  
19 petitions this Court for removal of the state court action, which was originally commenced  
20 in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of Maricopa, entitled  
21 *Diana Okabayashi vs. The Travelers Home and Marine Insurance Company, et al.*, Case  
22 No. CV2017-010871, on the following grounds:

23 1. On or around September 7, 2017, an action was commenced in the Superior  
24 Court of Arizona, County of Maricopa, entitled *Diana Okabayashi vs. The Travelers Home*  
25 *and Marine Insurance Company, et al.*, Case No. CV2017-010871 (the “State Court  
26 Action”). A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached as **Exhibit 1**.

27 2. On September 8, 2017, a Summons and the Complaint were served on  
28 Travelers through the Director of Insurance, Arizona Department of Insurance. A true and

correct copy of the Summons and Notice of Service of Process are attached hereto as

## **Exhibit 2.**

3. Attached, collectively, as **Exhibit 3** are copies of all other process, pleadings, and orders filed in the State Court Action: Coversheet and Certificate on Compulsory Arbitration.

4. The thirty-day period for removal does not begin to run until the party has been properly served. See *Murphy Brothers Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 526 U.S. 344, 347–48 (1999). Thirty days have not elapsed since Travelers received the Summons and Complaint in this action. Accordingly, this Notice is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

5. Plaintiff's Complaint appears to allege causes of action for breach of insurance contract and insurance bad faith against Travelers. *See Exhibit 1.*

## VENUE

6. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), venue of this action is proper in this Court as the district and division within which the State Court Action was brought.

## **JURISDICTION**

7. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441, Congress has granted defendants the statutory right to remove a case from state court to a United States District Court where that case could have originally been filed in federal court. This grant is authorized by Article III, Section 2 of the United States Constitution, which extends judicial power of the federal courts to controversies “between citizens of different states.” 28 U.S.C. §1332.

8. Removal is proper in this case because the Court has original jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is complete diversity of citizenship between the parties and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds \$75,000.00. See 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

## **AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY**

2       9. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.  
3 Pursuant to the allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint, bad faith is evidenced by the fact  
4 Plaintiff was awarded \$175,000 at arbitration after Travelers had refused to tender the  
5 subject insurance policy's \$100,000 limits. (See **Exhibit 1**, Complaint, pages 2 - 4).  
6 Plaintiff's alleged damages allegedly caused by Travelers' conduct are the difference  
7 between the arbitration award and the policy limits. Travelers reads the Complaint as if  
8 Plaintiff Okayabashi makes this contention, and Plaintiff's counsel has not clarified or  
9 confirmed otherwise. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks as damages for alleged ruination of  
10 credit. (See **Exhibit 1**, Complaint, pages 5 – 6).

11       10. Travelers does not concede that it is guilty of any conduct that would warrant  
12 the imposition of any of the damages alleged by Plaintiff or that the claimed damages were  
13 causally related to the conduct alleged against it, but based on the foregoing, Travelers  
14 believes that the evidence shows that the amount in controversy with respect to Plaintiff is  
15 in excess of \$75,000.00.

## **GEOGRAPHICAL DIVERSITY**

17       11. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff is a citizen of Arizona. *See Exhibit 1,*  
18 Complaint, page 1, lines 19-20.

19        12. Travelers is an insurance company organized and existing under the laws of  
20 the State of Connecticut with its principal place of business in the State of Connecticut.

21       13. This case meets the requirements for original jurisdiction in this Court  
22 stemming from diversity of citizenship as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

## **REMOVAL IS PROPER**

24       14. This Notice of Removal is timely filed because it was filed within thirty days  
25 after receipt of the Summons and Complaint by Travelers and is filed within one year of the  
26 filing of the Complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

27       15. Travelers will also timely file a Notice of Removed Action with the Clerk of  
28 the Marciopa County Superior Court.

16. Travelers is providing written notice to Plaintiff. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

17. Travelers reserves the right to amend or supplement this Notice of Removal.

3 WHEREFORE, Travelers hereby requests that the action now pending against it in  
4 the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, in and for the County of Maricopa, be removed  
5 to this Court.

DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2017.

**FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH  
PONZI & RUDLOFF PC**

By: s/ *Amy L. Stein*

---

Amy M. Samberg

Amy L. Stein

1 East Washington Street, Suite 500

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorneys for Defendant The Travelers  
Hartford Mutual Insurance Company

**FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH PONZI & RUDLOFF PC**  
1 East Washington Street, Suite 500  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
(602) 257-0200

## **VERIFICATION OF AMY STEIN**

I, Amy Stein, verify as follows:

1. I am an active member in good standing of the State Bar of Arizona and a partner with the law firm of Foran Glennon Palandech Ponzi & Rudloff, P.C., counsel of record for Defendant The Travelers Home and Marine Insurance Company.

2. I have firsthand knowledge of the matters set forth herein. I submit this verification pursuant to L.R. Civ. P. 3.6 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 11.

3. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the Complaint originally filed in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona, in and for the County of Maricopa, entitled *Diana Okabayashi vs. The Travelers Home and Marine Insurance Company, et al.*, Case No. CV2017-010871 (the “State Court Action.”)

4. Attached as **Exhibit 2** are true and correct copies of the Summons directed to Travelers in care of the Director of Insurance, Arizona Department of Insurance and the Notice of Service of Process.

5. Attached collectively as **Exhibit 3** are copies of all other process, pleadings, and orders filed in the State Court Action: Coversheet, Certificate on Compulsory Arbitration and Certificate of Service.

6. Attached as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Removed Action filed with the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court, Case No. CV2017-010871.

7. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. Pursuant to the allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint, bad faith is evidenced by the fact Plaintiff was awarded \$175,000 at arbitration after Travelers had refused to tender the subject insurance policy's \$100,000 limits. (See **Exhibit 1**, Complaint, pages 2 - 4). In Travelers' experience, insureds such as Plaintiff claim the difference between the arbitration award and the policy limits as the damage caused by Travelers' alleged conduct. Travelers reads the Complaint as if Plaintiff Okayabashi makes this contention, and Plaintiff's counsel

1 has not clarified or confirmed otherwise. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks as damages for  
2 alleged ruination of credit. (See **Exhibit 1**, Complaint, pages 5 – 6).

3 I verify that the foregoing is true and correct.

4 Executed this 9<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2017, at Phoenix, Arizona

5  
6 FORAN GLENNON PALANDECH  
7 PONZI & RUDLOFF, PC.

8 By: s/ Amy L. Stein.  
9 Amy M. Samberg  
10 Amy L. Stein  
11 1 East Washington Street, Suite 500  
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
13 Attorneys for Defendant The Travelers  
14 Home and Marine Insurance Company

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 9, 2017, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants below:

- 18     **BY FAX:** by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m. pursuant to EDCR Rule 19    7.26(a). A printed transmission record is attached to the file copy of this document(s).
- 20     **BY U.S. MAIL:** by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Phoenix, Arizona addressed as set forth below.
- 22     **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** submitted to the above-entitled Court for electronic service upon the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case.

24    /s/    Brenda Uran